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PROCEEDINGS ,

THE COURT: Court is called to order. Mr.

Fein, account for the parties.

MR. FEIN: Yes, Ma'am. All parties when Court last recessed are again present, including Captain Overgaard is present.

Also, Your Honor, this session is
unclassified. Yesterday at the close of the session the security, Court Security Officer completed his checklist for opening the session and now we follow the post trial allied papers.

Also, Your Honor, as of 9:55 this morning there are ten members of the media in the Media Operation Center, one stenographer, no media in the courtroom, eight spectators in the courtroom. And although there are no spectators in the overflow trailer, it is available all day.

THE COURT: All right. For the record, we had one additional filing by the Defense last night. As we discussed yesterday -- well, it was created last night. Filed this morning. A motion for appropriate
relief under RCM 1001B4. And that is Appellate Exhibit 629.

And I note for the record that the Defense is basically objecting to some of the testimony of yesterday's witnesses in three categories; chain of events testimony, could cause damage testimony, and monetary expense and use of resources testimony.

The Government will be filing a response tonight, is that correct, Major Fein?

MR. FEIN: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Now the parties and I discussed this issue this morning in an RCM 802 conference briefly. Once again, that's a conference where I discuss scheduling and other issues, logistics and other issues that are going to arise in cases.

And what we agreed to do is, some of this testimony may come out with today's witnesses as well and tomorrow's for that matter. And what we are going to do is, the Defense will have a standing objection to these three categories of testimony. If you want to stand up and say, we think this is that category, go
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ahead and do that.
We are going to take the testimony, this is a Judge alone trial, I can disregard testimony I find to be inadmissible when $I$ rule on the motion. And rather than disrupt the trial schedule the parties have agreed to proceed with that in mind. Is that correct?

MR. FEIN: Yes, Ma'am.
MR. COOMBS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Would either side desire to add anything to what we discussed in the 802 conference?

MR. FEIN: No, ma'am.
MR. COOMBS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. So the Court anticipates ruling on this motion, as well as the Defense motions for, to merge specifications for unreasonable multiplication of charges for findings and sentence early next week, as well as issue special findings.

Is there anything else we need to address before we proceed?

MR. FEIN: No, Ma'am.
MR. COOMBS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Please call your first witness.
MR. SNUTE: The United States calls Ms.
Elizabeth Dibble.
Whereupon,
ELIZABETH DIBBLE,
called as a witness, having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY CAPTAIN OVERGAARD:
Q. And you are Ms. Elizabeth Dibble from the Department of State in Washington, D.C.?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. What is your current position at the Department of state.
A. I recently concluded an assignment as Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary in the Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs. And I am transitioning, next week I will arrive in London where $I$ will be the Deputy Chief of Mission at the Embassy there.
Q. And you are here today to discuss your opinions based on your expertise in diplomatic
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priorities and operations in Near Eastern affairs?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And specifically give your opinion on the impact of Pfc Manning --

THE COURT: Just a minute. Expertise in
diplomatic priorities. And --

BY CAPTAIN OVERGAARD:
Q. And operations in Near Eastern affairs.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. COOMBS :
Q. And specifically to give your opinion on the impact of Pfc Manning's criminal conduct on the Department of State, as it relates to the Near East and specifically in regard to Iran, Lebanon and Libya?
A. Yes.
Q. How does Near Eastern Affairs fit into the Department of State?
A. The Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs is one of six Geographic Bureaus. It spans the countries from Morocco, going eastward through Iran, so including Algeria, Morocco, Tunisia, Libya, Egypt, the Gulf
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States, Syria, Lebanon, Jordan, Israel, Palestinians, Iraq and Iran.
Q. So basically the Middle Eastern -- North Africa?
A. North Africa, correct.
Q. And what is the role of a Geographic Bureau in the Department of State?
A. A Geographic Bureau is responsible for policy development and implementation with regard to U.S. policy toward that specific region of the world. In Washington we are the interface with our missions overseas, our embassies and consulates in all of the countries I mentioned. We participate in the interagency policy process. We report up through the Undersecretary for Political Affairs to the Secretary of State.
Q. And what is the mission of the Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs?
A. The mission of the Bureau is to manage U.S. bilateral or diplomatic relations with the countries of the Near East.
Q. You mentioned that you were principal or you are just transitioning out of the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary position at the Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs. What does it mean to be a Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary?
A. Each Geographic Bureau is headed by an Assistant Secretary, which is a Senate confirmed position. As the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary I was the Number 2 in the Bureau reporting directly to the Assistant Secretary.

There were also seven Deputy Assistant Secretaries who covered both specific regional and functional issues with regard to the Near East.
Q. And how long were you PS for Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs?
A. Just under two years.
Q. And going back to the beginning of your career with the Department of State, when did you join the Department of State?
A. September 1980.
Q. And in what capacity were you hired?
A. I'm a career Foreign Service Officer, commissioned Foreign Service Officer. I was hired as an entry level officer and have -- this is my career. This is basically all I have ever done. I have worked my way up through the ranks. And it will be 33 years in September.
Q. What does it mean to be -- you said it's like a commissioned officer. Could you give us a little more detail on what it means to be a Foreign Service Officer?
A. Foreign Service is -- the process for entry into the Foreign Service is a competitive one. There is a written exam and then an oral assessment. And we staff all of our embassies overseas. We also work in the Department of State in Washington.

Much like the military we rotate every couple of years. Our tours are anywhere from one to three years depending on the assignment. We are hired as generalists with a specific functional specialization. I'm actually an economic officer. We have political officers, administrative officers,
public diplomacy officers, counsel officers and economic officers.

Over time we generally though develop a regional, an area of concentration, a regional expertise based on repeat assignments in a specific region.
Q. You said you have worked your way up through your 33 years. What would your position be now, as far as working your way up?
A. I am a Foreign Service Officer Class Minister Counselor, which is the equivalent of a two star in the military system.
Q. What was your first assignment at the Department of State?
A. My very first assignment was in the Office for Combating Terrorism. You want me to go through my CV? I can do that, if you like but --

MAJOR HURLEY: The Defense would not object to Ms. Dibble being accepted as an expert by this Court with respect to her expertise in Near Eastern Affairs, but we would object to diplomatic priorities unless
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somehow that's more specified.
THE COURT: All right. Is there a written CV that you have?

CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: A general written bio, Ma'am. It doesn't go through all the details of what the positions entailed when she was at this specific --

THE COURT: Do we need to hear that? The defense is willing to stipulate to her expertise. With the exception of the diplomatic priorities.

If you want to go a little further into that, go ahead. I don't think we need all the biographical data. If you want to put it in writing as a prosecution exhibit, go ahead.

MAJOR HURLEY: We would have no objection to that.
(Pause)
THE COURT: If the questions are foundational to you in what you are trying to elicit, that's fine. I just don't want to spend a great deal of time going from everything --

CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: This is the problem.
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Going through her career and all her experience in Near Eastern Affairs is what establishes her expertise in the diplomatic priorities and operation of various data in Near Eastern Affairs.

THE COURT: Well, if she's an expert in Near Eastern Affairs, she can give her opinion on --

CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: She should be able to give her opinion on diplomatic priorities, which is Defense's objection.

MAJOR HURLEY: If I may respond to that first. We would just consider the field broad. She's an expert on Near Eastern Affairs. But the topic that she would be opining on is overbroad.

THE COURT: Then I'm going to let the Government develop the foundation. Go ahead. BY CAPTAIN OVERGAARD:
Q. Again, Ma'am, we are going to go through the CV. What was your first assignment at the Department of State?
A. I was in the Office for Combating Terrorism.

Provided by Freedom of the Press Foundation
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Q. When was that?
A. 1980 to 1982 .
Q. What did you do in that position?
A. I was what's called an International

Relations Officer General. And I had responsibility for formulation of our CT policy, which CT was a much smaller office than it is now.
Q. What is CT?
A. Oh, sorry. Counterterrorism. I speak in acronym-use. Just let me know if I --
Q. Thank you. What was your assignment after that?
A. I was assigned to the U.S. Embassy in London where $I$ was -- I spent a year as a Counselor Officer and then I was the Staff Assistant to the Ambassador. That assignment was 1982 to 1984.
Q. And then after that?
A. 1984 to 1985 I was in training in Washington in economics and had a midlevel management course.
Q. Then what was your next assignment?
A. 1985 to 1986 , I was in the Bureau of Economic Affairs in the Trade Office. And then I was seconded from the State Department to the Office of the U.S. Trade representative from 1986 to 1988, where I was the Assistant Chief Textile Negotiator.
Q. And then where were you assigned in 1988?
A. Following six months of French training I was assigned to the U.S. Embassy in Tunisia in 1989. I was there until 1992. I was in the economic section there.
Q. And what did you actually do, when you were assigned there?
A. I was the commercial attache responsible for fostering U.S. economic and commercial relations with Tunisia.
Q. Was that under the Bureau of --
A. Yes, under the Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs
Q. What was your next position at the Department of State?
A. I was at the U.S. Embassy in Islamabad,

Pakistan from 1992 to 1994. At that time Islamabad fell under the Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs. We have been reorganized since then. And I was also in the economic section focusing on finance and development issues.
Q. And what did you do -- what was your day-to-day activity focusing on the financing operation?

MAJOR HURLEY: Ma'am, I'm sorry to interrupt. We'll just stipulate to the expertise of this particular witness.

THE COURT: Thank you. Proceed. BY CAPTAIN OVERGAARD:
Q. Are you familiar with the information released by WikiLeaks?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And how are you familiar with these unauthorized disclosures?
A. I was in the -- at the time the Bureau of European Affairs, when the first tranche of cables were, or purported cables, were released. And then $I$
have been serving in Washington since then and was aware that there were additional releases that came -that started in the fall of 2010, November I believe. And then I was aware of additional releases through the summer of 2011.

CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: If the Defense has voir dire, this would be the appropriate time.

MAJOR HURLEY: Ma'am, we don't have any.
THE COURT: They stipulated.
BY CAPTAIN OVERGAARD:
Q. Could you describe for the Court the reaction at your office when you learned of the WikiLeaks releases?

MAJOR HURLEY: Objection. Hearsay and relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's her reaction. Go ahead. BY CAPTAIN OVERGAARD:
Q. Without going into what anyone said at your office, what was the general reaction?
A. Horror and disbelief that our diplomatic
communications had been released and were available on public websites for the world to see.
Q. And why did you have that reaction?
A. Because, when -- the role of an embassy overseas is to be the eyes and ears of the U.S. on the ground. And to report events in a country, but not just the facts, because people can, you know, if you read the newspapers, you can get the facts, but the back story, the context. To delve behind a policy decision, for example, why a decision was made. And to elicit from our contacts overseas the color of the context that goes with the facts.

MAJOR HURLEY: I'm sorry. Ma'am, can we have a brief --

THE COURT: Yes.
MAJOR HURLEY: Ten minute, Ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dibble, during the ten-minute recess that I'm just about to announce, please do not discuss your testimony or knowledge of the case with anyone other than counsel of the accused while the trial is still going on.
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THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Court is recessed for ten minutes.
(Brief Recess)
THE COURT: Let the record reflect all parties are present when the Court last recessed are again present in Court. The witness is on the witness stand. Please proceed. BY CAPTAIN OVERGAARD:
Q. Do you have any experience preparing cables in Near Eastern Affairs?
A. Yes, I do, for my overseas assignments.
Q. And do you have any experience reviewing cables?
A. Yes, I do. As a supervisor of a section and as a Deputy Chief of Mission cables prepared by others would come to me for review and clearance and then I would sign them out, as we say.
Q. And why are cables important to specifically Near Eastern Affairs?
A. Because our embassies provide the eyes and
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ears on the ground for the U.S. Government, they provide the assessment of our embassies of the specific situation on the ground of a specific issue or, you know, a broad -- cables can be everything from a very short, narrow subject, your reporting of a meeting or reporting of an event, to a broader analysis of the current situation in Country X.

And cables not only provide the facts, but they provide the analysis, the synthesis and the Embassy's judgment of what is going on in a particular country.
Q. And who relies on the information in these cables?
A. Cables are meant for a very broad audience, not just the Department of State in Washington. The Department of State or a sister embassy, for instance, if Cairo sends in a cable reporting on the political situation there, it's of interest to other embassies in the Near East. It's of interest to our embassies in Europe who are talking with our European allies on the signatures in Egypt.
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But perhaps the broadest audience is back in Washington. It's the broad policy community, the interagency community, various agencies of the U.S. Government, the intelligence community. It's not just -- the cables are not just meant for the Department of State.
Q. Do you know what the intelligence community and other organizations use the cables for?
A. Our cables factor into their analysis. I don't want to go into anything in this session. I'm happy to do that in another classified setting.

But, suffice it to say, the information we provide is fed into a variety of products that are produced around the Government.

CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: At this time I would like to move into a closed situation.

THE COURT: All right. Well, we are going to the Defense open cross examination first.

MAJOR HURLEY: Yes, Ma'am.
CROSS EXAMINATION BY MAJOR HURLEY:
Q. Good-morning, Ms. Dibble.
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A. Good morning.
Q. You're a United States Government employee?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And have been for many years?
A. Yes.
Q. 30 by my count, as $I$ was sitting, when you told Captain Overgaard your experience.
A. Almost 33, actually.
Q. And in that time you have dealt with classified information?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. You drafted classified documents?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. You reviewed classified documents?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Ever served as an original classification
authority?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. In the time that you have performed all of those functions -- well, let me restate my question. I apologize.
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You are familiar with the executive orders regarding classified information?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Have you ever questioned those executive orders to say, you know, I just don't think our classification structure is right?
A. No, I have not.
Q. And so if you have never questioned it at all, you certainly never publicly questioned the classification structures in the executive --
A. No, I have not.
Q. In making the conclusions and judgments that you made with respect to this case, and I'm not going to talk about those conclusions and judgments right now, did you ever evaluate the information that you received and determined whether or not it was properly classified?
A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
Q. So you were given data and information and that data and information informed your opinion?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you ever evaluate that data and information to determine if it was properly classified?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You just accepted the classification on face value?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And you accepted the information that you were told at face value?
A. What information are you referring to specifically?
Q. Not any specific information. I don't want to drill down on the specific facts for fear of discussing classified information.

The question is: All the facts and data that you relied on, did you question, did you ever question the veracity of those facts and data that you received or did you just accept it at face value?
A. I think the way I would answer that is, many cables and reports are snapshots of a situation in time. And it is -- when an embassy sends in a capable, it's their best judgment at that time. Do situations
change? Absolutely. But $I$ cannot think of an instance where I looked at a cable and thought they have got this dead wrong, no.
Q. Ma'am, since we started talking about cables, I want to talk about first the content of the cables and then my next line of questioning will be how those cables are distributed.

Okay. As you were talking with Captain Overgaard, you described the general formats of different types of cables. In one you just described here on cross which was the snapshot in time.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And that snapshot is a snapshot of a particular meeting or a particular thing that occurred in the recent past.
A. It can be a snapshot of a meeting, a snapshot of a Government policy decision. It can be many things, you know, reporting on a public affairs program that an embassy did, yes. BY MAJOR HURLEY:
Q. An each cable will have an analytic
component?
A. No, not necessarily.
Q. Some cables will just be a recitation of the facts that the drafting officer and ultimately the embassy itself believes is important for some other organization?
A. Well, it depends on the content of the cable. We have cables that go in with fiscal data for a, you know, Secretary of State's travel. That would not have an analytical component.

In general, however, a reporting cable on the political situation or the economic situation in Country $X$ might very well have an analytical component or what we would call a comment. Sometimes you see that comment.
Q. And then there's -- we'll call them the snapshot cable. I'm sure that's a non-doctoral term. There's a snapshot cable and then there are other cables like scene setter cables?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you describe briefly what a scene
setter cable consists of?
A. A scene setter cable is often done when there is a high-level U.S. Government visitor coming to a country, a presidential visit, Secretary of State is coming to visit. And it is a piece that sets out the lay of the land, the environment, the current situation so that the high-level visitor and his or her party basically know what they can expect to find on the ground.

Is the Government about to reshuffle itself? Are elections being called. That type of thing. And it often contains an analytical, you know, the embassy's judgment and analytical component to it.
Q. Now with respect to those sorts of cables, whether it's a snapshot type cable of scene setter type cable or any, to use your expression, reporting cable, they are distributed according to the drafter of the cable, correct?
A. Yeah, the originator of the cable; yes.
Q. And one such distribution could be SIPDIS.
A. Yes.
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Q. And SIPDIS is SIPRnet distribution?
A. Correct.
Q. So that when the NCD, the Net Centric Diplomacy database was up, if it was marked for SIPDIS, then it would be placed in the Net Centric Diplomacy database?
A. Correct. That's my understanding. I have to say, I'm not a techno expert on those things.
Q. But that's your understanding?
A. Yes.
Q. And there are other forms of distribution?
A. There are captions and other things, yes, that can go in a cable.
Q. I know there are a lot of captions. I
guess what I want to talk about right now, Ma'am, are the other ways that a cable can be distributed. Another way is STA distribution. Is it STADIS or --
A. STADIS .
Q. And that distribution is just distribution internal to the Department of Defense?
A. Department of State.
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Q. I'm sorry. Department of State.
A. Yes. We have things like personnel channels, medical channels, you know, where because of the content of the cable the distribution is limited.
Q. And there are other even beyond STADIS, there are other more restrictive distributions possible?
A. Yes.
Q. And exactly that would NODIS?
A. NODIS, LIMDIS.
Q. EXDIS. And then --

THE COURT: What are each of those?
BY MAJOR HURLEY:
Q. Ma'am what is NODIS?
A. It stands for no distribution.
Q. And EXDIS?
A. Executive distribution. Again, I think I would have to defer to our IT and IRM experts on this.
Q. Ma'am, this is just to the best of your knowledge.
A. Yes.
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Q. Thank you. Ma'am, sometimes my questions will sound like questions and sometimes they will sound like statements, but whether they sound like a question or a statement, you still have to respond verbally to what I say. It's for the record.
A. Sure.
Q. Thank you. Now your opinion, Ma'am, it's going to be limited to the impact to the United States Government?
A. Correct.
Q. Not impact to any foreign governments.
A. Well, the impact on the United States

Government, a foreign reaction --
Q. Is an impact --
A. Is an impact on the U.S. Government.
Q. Ma'am, is it fair to say that the United

States Government spends a lot on foreign policy -spends a lot of money?
A. Yes, I suppose that's fair. Although the State Department budget is dwarfed by the Department of Defense budget. So I guess a lot is a relative term.
Q. Relative term for the United States Government.
A. Actually $I$ can't say. Compared to domestic programs. That's a tough question. You know, we certainly feel, from the foreign policy side, State Department side, we certainly would like our budget to be bigger.
Q. Yes, Ma'am. Compared to other governments. Let's go with other industrialized governments, we spend more on foreign policy than those other industrialized governments, right?
A. Actually $I$ can't say that we do with any certainty. We are, as the world's remaining superpower, we are bigger than others, we tend to have embassies and consulates in more locations than some of our allies.

But $I$ honestly cannot say how much Great Britain or France or Germany spend on their diplomatic foreign policy spending.
Q. But $I$ guess, just to reinforce the answer that you just gave, we are, compared to those other
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pier like countries, we are most places with the United States Government personnel?
A. Yes. I think that's a fair statement.
Q. Now wherever we are, there are people that will deal with United States Government personnel out of fear, right?
A. I'm not sure I agree with that assessment, no.
Q. Some people, wherever we are in the world, will deal with us because they respect us?
A. That's a fair statement.
Q. And some, if not most, will deal with United States Government personnel because they need to?
A. Countries conduct their foreign policy based on national interest, just as we do. It's hard to generalize what's a need, I can't speak for another country. But diplomatic relations are, in general, and have been since the founding of our country, are the way that we deal -- one country deals with another.
Q. And that interaction is done ultimately in
the national interest of that country and the national interest of the United States?
A. Well, speaking from the United State's perspective, we conduct our relations in ways that we believe enhance and foster our national interest, yes.
Q. And generally, Ma'am, your education and experience, is it your opinion that American diplomats are professional, a professional class of people?
A. Absolutely.
Q. But their dedication is to advance the
national interest of the United States?
A. Yes.
Q. The foreign diplomats with which you worked, by and large they are also professionals?
A. By and large, yes, they are.
Q. And they are dedicated to advancing their own or their national interests?
A. The national interests of the country they report, yes.
Q. And when you're acting in this professional capacity, when you're advancing the national interest,
sometimes it's appropriate to set personal opinion aside?
A. Yes; absolutely.
Q. And sometimes it's appropriate to set emotional reaction aside?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Because you still have a job to do?
A. I represent the -- my job is to represent the foreign policy of the United States. Whether or not I agree with each component of that policy 100 percent, that's my job.
Q. Sometimes you have to -- not only is it agreement or disagreement with policy, it's personal opinion about an individual. You have to deal with the person and you have to put aside your personal feelings in order to advance America's national interest?
A. Yes. If you are asking if I have had to deal with people I don't like personally in the course of my career, yes, that's true.
Q. And it's safe to assume that diplomats from other governments have been placed in that same
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position?
A. I would imagine so, yes.
Q. Ma'am, are you familiar with who Secretary Robert Gates is?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Secretary Gates made a few statements with respect to these disclosures. And I just want to get your take on those. First --

CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: Objection. Hearsay.
MAJOR HURLEY: Ma'am, it's her expert opinion. These are public statements made by Secretary Gates.

THE COURT: Are they hearsay or are you using them for a non-hearsay purpose?

MAJOR HURLEY: I'm using them for a
non-hearsay purpose because they are not offered for the truth of the matter asserted.

THE COURT: Overruled. BY MAJOR HURLEY:
Q. Ma'am, Secretary Gates said with respect to United States Government leaks that it's well-known
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that the United States Government leaks like a sieve. How would you react to that?
A. I would say that makes a good soundbite, but I don't agree with it.
Q. He said with respect to the disclosures in this particular case, the WikiLeaks disclosures, that statements like game-changer were fairly overwrought.
A. I don't necessarily agree with that statement, no.
Q. He would also characterize the disclosures as disclosing -- let me reask that question.

He also indicated that nations don't deal with us because we could keep their secrets. They deal with us because it's in their national interest?
A. I would agree that nations deal with us because it's in their national interest. I guess I'm not quite -- maybe $I$ don't have the whole context. I don't see the connection between nations dealing with us because we keep their secrets. I would agree with the latter part of that, that they deal with us because it's in their national interest.
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MAJOR HURLEY: Ma'am, one second.
(Pause)
Ma'am, that is all the questions we have.
THE COURT: All right. Redirect?
CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: One moment, please, Ma'am.
(Pause)
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY CAPTAIN OVERGAARD:
Q. Ms. Dibble, in your experience what are some of the foundations of diplomacy?
A. I would say the overarching role of diplomacy is to, as I mentioned earlier, further the U.S. national interest. In order to do that diplomats have to establish credibility. They have to establish trust with our foreign interlocutors.
Q. How is that credibility and trust established?
A. It's a process that takes time. We develop contacts with individuals in a particular country. In some cases we inherit those contacts from our predecessors. When you arrive at an embassy, you are
sort of given a handoff of these are the people that $I$ dealt with, but you also develop your own network of contacts.

And it's a process that happens over time, you get to know people. They talk to you. You talk to them. And depending on the situation, you know, you basically have to establish credibility in your bona fides. And the idea is to get your contacts to give you the back story, give you insights into what is happening in that country.

If they are in the Government of that country, you know, sort of how policy is being formulated within the Government. If they are a member of the business community, what their take is on, say, the economic situation in the future. It's very situational in terms of the type of context, but at the basis of it all is establishing credibility and establishing trust.
Q. Is there an expectation in those conversations, is there any expectations going into those conversations when you're sharing this back story
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information?
A. Again, it is situational but, you know, if I am talking to a senior government official, I have to assume that they are trying to influence my position, just I am trying to influence their position

If it is a conversation that is in which the government official is expressing concern about his or her own Government's policy, there is an expectation of a certain amount of confidentiality so that the person will be burned.
Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. At this time is it appropriate to move into another session?

CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Is there anything we need to address before we do that?

MAJOR HURLEY: No, ma'am.
MR. FEIN: No, Ma'am. And this is from part finding for Appellate Exhibit 550.

THE COURT: Yes. Let me ask a question here, though. The parties had asked for a long recess
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after this witness testifies, for the Defense too I suspect with the next witness coming up.

Do the parties see a need for another open session after the closed session with this witness or should we just plan to add that time in and come back?

MAJOR HURLEY: From the Defense, Ma'am, the latter at the time.

CAPTAIN OVERGAARD: There is no need to
come back on the record, Ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. Well, to advise the public then, what time do you think we would be expected to come back on the record having gone through the closed session, as well as the time that you need to do -- to prepare.

MR. FEIN: Can the parties have a moment, ma'am?

THE COURT: Yes.
MAJOR HURLEY: Ma'am, 1430.
THE COURT: All right. Is that acceptable to the Government?

MR. FEIN: Yes, ma'am.
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All right. Members of the gallery, the open session of the Court is going to be in recess. We'll reconvene then at 1430 for the open session for the next witness. Court is in recess.
(The Court recessed at 10:57 a.m.)
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